

**ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code**

**Subcommittee XI/Subgroup on Water-Cooled Systems**

**Working Group/Containment (WG/C)**

**Subsection IWL Commentary**

*Maintained By:* Fred Poteet III  
*Member, Working Group Containment*

*Revision No:* 4 Draft

*Last Updated:* April 20, 2012

## *Subsection IWL Commentary*

### *Notice*

*Opinions stated in this commentary do not necessarily represent those of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, and ASME does not endorse, approve, or make any representation as to the completeness or accuracy of the information contained herein.*

*Questions concerning this commentary may be directed to:*

*Fred Poteet III  
Member, Working Group - Containment  
(602) 740-3407*

*Because this commentary is not part of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and is not endorsed by ASME, official requests for interpretations, or other inquiries relative to the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code should be addressed to the:*

*Secretary  
ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Committee  
Three Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016-5990*

# *Subsection IWL Commentary*

## Background

With publication in the Federal Register on January 7, 1994 (59 FR 979) of the NRC proposed rulemaking to incorporate by reference into 10 CFR 50.55a Subsections IWE and IWL, the ASME received comments from the industry regarding the requirements of those subsections. The Working Group on Concrete Containment (WG/CC) has considered each of the comments submitted, and changes have been made to Subsection IWL as a result of the comments received. Since the requirements for examination of the post-tensioning system contained in Subsection IWL are essentially the same as the guidelines provided in Regulatory Guide 1.35, Rev. 3, "Inservice Inspection of Un-grouted Tendons in Concrete Containment Structures," approximately one fourth as many comments were submitted regarding Subsection IWL as Subsection IWE. The main difference between the regulatory guide and Subsection IWL is that Subsection IWL addresses examination of the concrete containment structure. There were three areas in general which the comments addressed: 1) the VT-1C and VT-3C examinations of concrete; 2) the responsibilities of the Registered Professional Engineer; and 3) verification of the examinations by an inspector.

There are two purposes for writing this commentary. The first is that users of standards that have been developed by other societies have found commentaries to be very useful. Many questions of intent or interpretation are averted by having the rationale for rules and requirements. The second purpose of this commentary arises from the format of Subsection IWL. As changes have been made to the requirements of Subsection IWL and these changes were discussed with ASME members outside of the working group, it became apparent that users of the ASME Code expected a certain format. Because Subsection IWL was not written in exactly the same format as the requirements for Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 examinations, much confusion resulted. Very early in the development of Subsection IWL, it was recognized that Class CC was very different in many respects from other classes addressed by the ASME Code. However, it was believed that rules should be developed using the existing accepted format of Section XI. With publication of the proposed NRC rule and the subsequent feedback, it was realized that a format which allowed the Owner more discretion in the development of a containment ISI program and flexibility in performing the containment examinations would better address industry needs; i.e., the end product would be a program which detected containment degradation before margins were seriously eroded, and the program would not be burdensome for the Owner.

This commentary is periodically revised as Code changes are approved. It is the intent of the Working Group/Containment (WG/C) that this commentary be published with each new Edition of the Code, and that each publication include references to Committee actions affecting Subsection IWL that were the basis for commentary changes.

## *Subsection IWL Commentary*

### References

1. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, 1992 Edition with the 1992 Addenda through the 1998 Edition with the 2000 Addenda
2. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2001 Edition with the 2002 and 2003 Addenda
3. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2004 Edition with the 2005 and 2006 Addenda
4. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2007 Edition with the 2008 and 2009 Addenda
5. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2010 Edition with the 2011 Addenda
6. ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, 2013 Edition

## Subsection IWL Commentary

### ARTICLE IWL-1000 SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY

#### IWL-1100 SCOPE

Subsection IWL addresses the examination and repair/replacement of the reinforced concrete and the post-tensioning systems of concrete containments. Examination of the metal liner of a concrete containment is addressed by Subsection IWE.

During August of 2008, the Section XI Executive Committee requested the Section XI committees to identify wording that was imprecise and provide alternative wording for committee consideration. The Working Group Containment (WG/C) reviewed Subsection IWL to identify imprecise wording based on the Section XI Executive Committee guidance. Screening searched for words or phrases that allowed Owner determination of criteria, words that implicitly allowed Owner determination of criteria, or terms that had no corresponding Code definition. Candidates for potential change were identified, assembled and discussed by the Working Group. In some instances it was ultimately decided that no proposed change were necessary as the present wording was considered appropriate. In other instances, alternative wording was provided for committee consideration. Detailed below is a summary of the identified items. The actual changes are listed under each appropriate paragraph and were incorporated into the 2010 Edition of the Code.

- IWL-1220(b) Items Exempt from Examination
- IWL-2230(a) Preservice Examination of Repair/Replacement Activities
- IWL-2310(a), (b) & (c) Visual Examinations (NOTE: ACI 201.1R is ERRATA and will be handled in a separate action, if required.)(NOTE: A new action will be taken to address “adequate illumination” in IWL-2330, Responsible Engineer.)
- IWL-2421 (b) Sites With Multiple Plants
- IWL-2510 (a) and (b) Surface Examination
- IWL-3111 Acceptance by Examination
- IWL-3211 Acceptance by Examination
- IWL-4320 Repair/Replacement Program
- IWL-4180 Documentation
- IWL-4220 (b) and (c) Concrete
- IWL-5210 (a) General
- IWL-5260 Corrective Action
- IWL-5300 Report

The changes are not considered “technical,” but an administrative enhancement to the current requirements.

## *Subsection IWL Commentary*

### **IWL-1200 ITEMS SUBJECT TO EXAMINATION**

#### **IWL-1210 EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS**

#### **IWL-1220 ITEMS EXEMPT FROM EXAMINATION**

IWL-1220 states that tendon end anchorages, portions of the concrete surface that are covered by the liner, foundation material, or backfill, or are otherwise obstructed by adjacent structures, components, parts or appurtenances are exempt from the examination requirements of IWL-2000.

#### *Background:*

NUREG-1801 (the GALL Report) identifies ASME XI, Subsection IWL as the aging management program to manage the cracking, spalling, increases in porosity/permeability loss of bond, etc. of concrete surfaces for PWR and BWR containments. NUREG-1801 additionally recognizes that IWL exempts from examination those portions of the containment concrete surfaces that are determined to be inaccessible. To address the inaccessible areas, 10CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(viii)(E) requires the licensee to evaluate the acceptability of inaccessible areas when conditions exist in accessible areas that could indicate the presence of, or result in, degradation to such inaccessible areas

Based on NUREG-1801, NUREG-1800 and other applicable documents, the Special Working Group/Plant Life Extension (SWG/PLEX) determined that the Working Group/Containment (WG/C) should further review and address containment aging management of inaccessible concrete surface areas. In response to the SWG/PLEX request and after review by the WG/C, changes specifically applicable to inaccessible containment concrete surfaces were made. The changes are intended to incorporate provisions that address the evaluation and examination of inaccessible concrete areas when general visual examinations of accessible surfaces identify conditions that warrant additional evaluations and examinations.

IWL-1220(b) was revised and IWL-1220(c) and IWL-1220(d) were added to clarify specific areas exempted from examination. IWL-1220(c) and IWL-1220(d) incorporate requirements for the performance of an evaluation by the Responsible Engineer to determine examination requirements for inaccessible areas when suspect conditions are identified inaccessible areas and to include concrete surface made inaccessible by foundation material or backfill.

In the 2010 Edition IWL-1220 (b) was revised to replace imprecise wording. (**otherwise was deleted**)

## Subsection IWL Commentary

### ARTICLE IWL-2000 EXAMINATION AND INSPECTION

#### **IWL-2100 GENERAL**

The requirements of IWA-2210 and IWA-2300 do not apply. IWA-2210 addresses requirements for visual, VT-1, VT-2, and VT-3 visual examinations which are not applicable to IWL. Personnel qualification requirements specified in IWA-2300 do not apply because the Responsible Engineer (IWL-2320) is responsible for training and qualification of concrete examination personnel. The Working Group Containment believes that this individual is capable of ensuring that the necessary training and personnel qualification requirements are met.

#### Historical Information:

1. IWL-2100 was revised in the 1998 Edition to delete all references to the Inspector. Prior to the 1998 Edition, IWL-2100 required that examinations be verified by an Inspector (Authorized Nuclear Inservice Inspector). Some comments questioned verification of the examinations by the Authorized Nuclear Inservice Inspectors (ANII) when the inspectors did not have the requisite training or experience. With the lack of concrete construction in the recent past, ANIIs would not be able to meet the experience requirements of ASME N626, Part N626.2. There has only been one containment built using an ANI. Because the ASME and NRC process requires verification of the examination process by a third party, the ANIIs cannot be simply written out of the process. The WG/CC decided to define the ANII role as a verification of procedures and paperwork. The RPE is qualified to perform oversight of the examinations, and the ANII is not required for verification of concrete containment examinations. The advice of ANIIs was sought in forming this position, and the ANIIs agreed with the intent of the committee.
2. Interpretation #XI-1-98-05, File #IN-97-027 clarifies that it is not a requirement of IWA-2120 or IWL-2100 that an ANII possess a National Board C endorsement in order to perform Class CC inspections. A "C" endorsement indicates that the inspector has had appropriate training related to examination of structural concrete.
3. The 2007 Edition revised IWL-2100, IWL-2310, IWL-2320, and IWL-4110, and add IWL-2330 to address modifications imposed by 10CFR50.55a(b)(2)(viii)(F) & (G). IWL-2100 has been revised to clarify applicability of IWA-2000.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

#### **IWL-2200 PRESERVICE EXAMINATION**

#### Historical Information:

1. Interpretation #XI-1-95-64, File #IN-97-014 clarifies that it is not a requirement of IWL-2200 that a structural integrity test be performed following a repair/replacement activity. However, for modifications where the design pressure of the containment is increased, the system pressure test required by IWL-5000 shall be conducted at the new design basis accident pressure, Pa.
2. Interpretation #XI-1-98-26, File #IN-97-013 clarifies that it is not the intent of IWL-2200 to require preservice examination of post-tensioning systems to be performed in accordance with IWL-2500. Preservice examination requirements for post-tensioning systems are specified in IWL-2220. IWL-

## *Subsection IWL Commentary*

2200 was revised to delete reference to IWL-2500 in the 1998 Edition.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **IWL-2210 EXAMINATION SCHEDULE**

### **IWL-2220 EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS**

#### **IWL-2220.1 Concrete**

#### **IWL-2220.2 Unbonded Post-Tensioning Systems**

### **IWL-2230 PRESERVICE EXAMINATION OF REPAIR/REPLACEMENT ACTIVITIES**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-2230 (a) was revised to replace imprecise wording.  
(corrected or modified was changed to affected)

### **IWL-2300 VISUAL EXAMINATION, PERSONNEL QUALIFICATION, AND RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER**

#### **IWL-2310 VISUAL EXAMINATIONS**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-2310 was revised in the 2010 Edition to correct imprecise wording.

(a) (to assess the general was changed to determine)(ACI 201.1R was added)

(b)(1) (suspect concrete surfaces initially detected by general visual examinations was changed to initially detected by general visual examinations of concrete surfaces)

(b)(2) (suspect concrete surfaces, at tendon anchorage areas, initially detected by general visual examinations was changed to initially detected by general visual examinations of concrete surfaces, at tendon anchorage areas)

(c) was deleted

#### Historical Information:

1. In order to conform to Section XI requirements for visual examination (i.e., the requirements in IWA-2000), Subsection IWL had previously referenced the VT-1 and VT-3 examinations but called them VT-1C and VT-3C to signify that these were examinations of a concrete structure. Not only was the "C" designation confusing to users of Section XI, but many other comments were received regarding the use of the VT standards in examining a containment structure. Many people believed that the visual examination requirements for illumination levels and distances would preclude the ability to demonstrate that remote visual examination was equivalent to direct visual examination. One commenter pointed out that the visual examination of containment is

## *Subsection IWL Commentary*

intended to uncover indications of significant conditions over a large area in a generally benign environment. IWA-2210 specifies that the VT-3 examiner be able to distinguish a lower case letter 0.125 inches high on the surface being examined.

The requirements for a VT-3C examination have been replaced with a "general visual examination," and the requirements for a VT-1C examination have been replaced with a "Detailed Visual examination." The general visual examination of a concrete surface is performed to indicate the general condition of the containment. In order to assist in the performance of a general visual examination to identify the types of concrete deterioration and distress that can occur, reference is made to ACI 201.1R. Contained in ACI 201.1R is a checklist for making a survey of the condition of concrete, and photographs and descriptions of various distress manifestations.

The Working Group Containment has proposed changing IWL-2310(a) to include reference to ACI 349.3R. ACI 349.3R provides additional information on various degradation mechanisms that can impact the performance of containments. For example, differential settlement, and degradation of mild steel reinforcement and post-tensioning systems is described. It is the committee's intent that the only applicable sections of ACI 349.3R are those that relate to degradation mechanisms. Information contained in ACI 349.3R on evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria were developed for nuclear power plant concrete structures other than containments; however, this information can be of assistance in resolution of the significance of observed areas of concrete deterioration and distress. As part of the proposed change to IWL-2310(a), the text "defined" is to be changed to "described" because it is felt that information contained in ACI 201.1R and 349.3R provides more of a description of the potential forms of deterioration and distress than a strict definition. (See WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384 for more detailed information).

The purpose of the general visual examination is to detect signs of deterioration. A detailed visual examination is then performed to determine the magnitude and extent of the deterioration. Alternative lighting and resolution requirements have been added to Subsection IWL to be used in lieu of the requirements of IWA-2000. It was determined that the lighting and resolution requirements contained in IWA-2000 (referenced in earlier versions of Subsection IWL), which were developed for use in Class 1, 2, and 3 examinations, are not suitable in many instances for use in performing containment examinations. For example, the requirements of IWA-2000 are used to detect flaws in piping. Containment concrete deterioration such as spalling and discoloration can be detected with less resolution and lighting. Because of the diversity in containment conditions with regard to resolution and lighting needs, the ASME has given the Registered Professional Engineer (discussed below under IWL-2330) the authority to determine these program requirements.

2. Interpretation #XI-1-98-31, File #IN-98-004 clarifies that the Responsible Engineer (IWL-2320) is responsible for approval, instruction, and training of concrete examination personnel. This interpretation clarified that this responsibility does not reside with the Level III Instructor required by IWL-2310 (ANSI/ASME CP-189), unless this individual also happens to be the Responsible Engineer.
3. WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384. Working Group Containment agenda for 9/10/02 meeting indicate that this action has been approved. Publication expected in 2003 Addenda.
4. VT-1C and VT-3C examination requirements were removed from IWL-2310 in the 1997 Addenda.
5. Table IWL-2500-1, IWL-2310 and IWL-2510 were revised in the 2003 Addenda to

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

clarify the requirements for examination of concrete surfaces at tendon anchorage areas not included as part of the tendons originally selected for examination as prescribed by IWL-2521. The original intent of IWL-2000 was to subject concrete surfaces of tendon anchorage areas, not selected under IWL-2521, to a "general visual" examination. A subsequent rewrite of IWL-2000 inadvertently identified the examination as a "detailed visual" examination. The proposed changes correct IWL-2000 and make IWL-2310 and IWL-2510 consistent with Table IWL-2500-1.

6. In the 2007 Edition it was clarified that general visual examinations are performed to assess the general condition of concrete surfaces. IWL-2310 was revised to eliminate the "Owner defined" qualification requirements for personnel performing visual examinations of concrete surfaces, and tendon anchorage hardware, wires, or strands. Detailed visual examinations are performed to
  - Assess adverse concrete conditions initially identified by general visual examination.
  - Assess the condition of concrete surfaces affected by repair/replacement activities. This examination would normally satisfy the preservice examination requirements specified in IWL-2220.1(a) and IWL-2510(a) (which directs the Owner to IWL-2310(b)).
  - Assess the condition of concrete surrounding anchorages of tendons selected for examination in accordance with IWL-2520. Detailed examinations are not required to be performed on 100% of tendon anchorage areas, unless necessary to evaluate conditions initially detected by the general visual examination required by IWL-2510.
  - Assess the condition of tendon wires or strands on those tendons subject to examination in accordance with IWL-2523.
  - Assess the condition of anchorage hardware, including bearing plates, anchorheads, wedges, buttonheads, and shims for those tendons subject to examination in accordance with IWL-2524.
  - Assess the condition of reinforcing steel exposed as a result of removing defective concrete as described in IWL-4220(c).

These examinations are performed under the direction of the Responsible Engineer.

Note that it is the intent of IWL to also allow the Responsible Engineer to perform both general and detailed visual examinations.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **IWL-2320 PERSONNEL QUALIFICATION**

#### Historical Information

1. In the 2007 Edition, IWL-2100 was revised to clarify applicability of IWA-2000. IWL-2310 has been revised to eliminate the "Owner defined" qualification requirements for personnel performing visual examinations of concrete surfaces, and tendon anchorage hardware, wires, or strands. In lieu of the "Owner defined" qualification requirements, more prescriptive requirements have been specified in IWL-2320 (new). IWL-2320 has been renumbered as IWL-2330, and IWL-2320

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

(new) has been added. IWL-2330 (new) now specifies that the Responsible Engineer is responsible for approval, instruction, and training of personnel performing general and detailed visual examinations.

2. Added as a result of BC-05-140. This action addressed the need for more prescriptive requirements for personnel qualifications in order to address limitations imposed by 10CFR50.55a(b)(2)(viii)(F).
3. This subparagraph was added in the 2007 Edition to provide more detailed and consistent requirements for training and qualification of personnel that perform visual examinations of concrete, reinforcing steel, and post-tensioning system components. In conjunction with the addition of IWL-2320, the “Owner Specified” qualification requirements previously found in IWL-2310(d) and (e) have been deleted. The requirements now specified in IWL-2320 will help to ensure that inspection personnel will receive training that is appropriate for examination of Class CC concrete containments. IWL-2320(a)(2) has also been revised to clarify requirements for training IWL examination personnel.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **IWL-2330 RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER**

Subsection IWL requires that a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) experienced in evaluating the inservice condition of structural concrete and knowledgeable in the design and construction of concrete containments be responsible for the containment concrete examination activities, which includes the examination of the concrete components as well as post-tensioning system components.

The two predominant questions have been asked concerning the requirement to use a Registered Professional Engineer:

- 1) Why was a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) needed (other subsections have no such requirement); and
- 2) Did the RPE actually have to perform the required examinations?

In the development of the concrete containment examination requirements, the committee believed that a RPE was necessary for several reasons. Concrete is a far different material than those addressed by Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 requirements. Assessment of concrete condition is as much art as science and it takes someone with the appropriate experience and background to conduct such a structure condition assessment. In addition, because the RPE is responsible for the development of plans and procedures, training of personnel, and either performance of the examinations or direction of the performance of the examinations by qualified examination personnel, the requirements of Subsection IWL are much less prescriptive than they otherwise would be without this critical oversight.

#### **Historical Information**

1. IWL-2320(e) was added in the 1997 Addenda to clarify that the Responsible Engineer is responsible for reviewing pressure test procedures for such tests to be performed following

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

- completion of concrete containment repair/replacement procedures. This change was made because IWL-5250 had been revised in the 1997 Addenda to require this.
2. Interpretation #XI-1-98-31, File #IN-98-004 clarifies that the Responsible Engineer (IWL-2320) is responsible for approval, instruction, and training of concrete examination personnel. This interpretation clarified that this responsibility does not reside with the Level III Instructor required by IWL-2310 (ANSI/ASME CP-189), unless this individual also happens to be the Responsible Engineer.
  3. As a result of BC-05-140, this subparagraph IWL-2320 was renumbered as IWL-2330, and the scope of IWL-2330 was changed to require that the Responsible Engineer be responsible for approving, instructing, and training all personnel performing general and detailed visual examinations.

*Date Revised: 01/24/07*

### **IWL-2400 INSERVICE INSPECTION SCHEDULE**

#### **IWL-2410 CONCRETE**

##### Historical Information

1. WG/CL #97-02, SG/WCS #01-02, ISI #01-09, MC/BC #01-08/01-362. This action addresses changes made to IWL associated with modifications to the inservice inspection schedule for containment surfaces affected by repair/replacement activities. The Working Group Containment believed that many repair/replacement activities have a significant effect on the containment structure and that the existing requirements of IWL (prior to the 2002 Addenda) were not adequate to address "augmented examination" requirements following repair/replacement activities. IWL-2410(d) was added as part of this action. The explanation which accompanied this action is provided below:
2. "Steam generator replacement and other major type of repair activities often involve the installation of temporary access openings in concrete containments or tendon force adjustments. For plants with post-tensioning systems, a significant number of tendons may be partially de-tensioned (with or without removal) and may be replaced, re-tensioned only, or be reinstalled during repair activities. Because the containment structure has been modified, the performance of affected areas should be monitored closely. The nature of post-tensioning tendons is such that once material is stressed more than a year and is then re-stressed it no longer performs at the same level as before. This would be true of any new tendon material compared to the original. Therefore, a new or several new groups are created and they perform differently, therefore, samples from either group do not represent each other. The new group needs a baseline inspection to determine trending and that repair, replacement or installation activities were performed correctly. This base line is normally performed after one year when major concrete curing and tendon force losses have occurred. These Code changes address this need and consider the future statistics of the surveillance group. The changes also consider the size of the original group sample which may be smaller. Thereby keeping all scheduled inspections at original level provided results are acceptable. Subsection IWL does not currently address this issue and contains no specific guidance or requirements to assess affected portions of concrete containments following these types of repair/replacement activities. The augmented examinations proposed will provide a consistent approach that can be endorsed for industry use.
3. IWL-2410(d) was added in the 2002 Addenda to clarify that concrete surfaces affected by repair/replacement activities shall be examined 12 months (+3 months) following completion of the repair/replacement activity.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

### **IWL-2420 UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEMS**

In the 2011 Addenda, IWL-2420 Subparagraph (b) was corrected by errata. (BC 10-1864)

In BC 01-362, IWL-2420 (d) was approved for addition to the 2002 Addenda, but was never included in the Code text. BC 10-1864 was approved to add the following to the 2011 Addenda.

**(d) Tendons affected by repair/replacement activities shall be examined in accordance with the requirements of IWL-2521.2.**

#### Historical Information

1. WG/CL #97-02, SG/WCS #01-02, ISI #01-09, MC/BC #01-08/01-362. This action addresses changes made to IWL associated with modifications to the inservice inspection schedule for containment surfaces affected by repair/replacement activities. The Working Group Containment believed that many repair/replacement activities have a significant effect on the containment structure and that the existing requirements of IWL (prior to the 2002 Addenda) were not adequate to address "augmented examination" requirements following repair/replacement activities. IWL-2420(d) was added as part of this action to require that tendons affected by repair/replacement activities be examined in accordance with IWL--2521.2. Unfortunately, the approved change to add IWL-2320(d) was not incorporated into the 2002 Addenda. This omission should be corrected by errata in the near future. See discussion under IWL-2410(d) for more information.
2. IWL examinations are required to be conducted during time windows surrounding anniversary dates of the structural integrity test completion date. Current code allows a window of 24 months total, 1 year on either side of the specified date, for completion of the 10 year and subsequent examinations. This window allows adequate margin to ensure that plants on an 18 month refueling cycle will always have at least one outage falling within the window with sufficient time to complete the work. However, plants operating on a 24 month refueling cycle will not necessarily have a refueling outage (or sufficient time within the outage) fall within the required inspection window. The 2008 Addenda modified the wording of IWL-2420(c) to be consistent with IWL-2420(b) as well as IWL-2410 (b) and IWL-2410(c). Specifically, this adds the sentence "If plant operating conditions are such that examination of portions of the post-tensioning system cannot be completed within this stated time interval, examination of those portions may be deferred until the next regularly scheduled plant outage." to IWL-2420(c). As a result, in the event a plant does not have an outage within the specified inspection window, they will still be able to meet the code requirements.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### **IWL-2421 Sites With Multiple Plants**

IWL-2421 allows an Owner to modify the examination schedule and scope for the inservice inspection of unbonded post-tensioning systems. The modifications apply to sites that have 2 or more plants (units) meeting the criteria specified in IWL-2421(a).

IWL-2421 does not permit the modification of examination schedule or scope for containment concrete visual examinations required by Table IWL-2500-1, Examination Category LA, Concrete.

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

In the 2011 Addenda, IWL-2421 was revised to clarify that the alternative may be used in lieu of the requirements of IWL-2420 to perform examinations of unbonded post-tensioning systems in accordance with IWL-2520. (BC 09-1659)

### Historical Information:

1. IWL-2421 was revised in the 1997 Addenda to apply to sites with more than 2 plants. Prior to the 1997 Addenda, IWL-2421 addressed "Sites with Two Plants" and did not contain guidance or requirements on how these provisions could be applied to sites with 3 or more plants. Additional consideration was given to the fact that the ASME Code is used internationally, and although there are few plants in the U.S. with more than 3 units, a number of foreign facilities have plants with more than 2 units. Licensees attempting to utilize provisions of IWL-2421 prior to the 1997 Addenda could apply the schedule and scope modifications to 2 of the 3 plants at a site. The third plant would have to comply with the scope and schedule applicable for a site with only one plant.
2. In the 2010 Edition, IWL-2421 was revised to correct imprecise wording.  
(b)(1) (**Only** was deleted, **need** was changed to **shall**)  
(b)(2) (**Only** was deleted, **need** was changed to **shall**)

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

## **IWL-2500 EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS**

### **IWL-2510 SURFACE EXAMINATION**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-2510 (a) and (b) were revised to correct imprecise wording. **(that indicate suspect conditions was changed to not meeting the criteria established in concrete surface examination procedures (IWL-2330(a)); ACI 201.1R was added)**

### Historical Information:

1. WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384. See discussion under IWL-2310 for more information.
2. IWL--2510(a): The word "defined" has been changed to "described" and a reference to ACI 349.3R has been added in the 2003 Addenda in conjunction with changes in the action listed below. These changes are being made to maintain consistency with similar proposed changes to IWL-2310(a). The wording change was made to be more accurate; i.e., the ACI documents are good references which should be used, but they are not requirements.
3. Table IWL-2500-1, IWL-2310 and IWL-2510 were revised in the 2003 Addenda to clarify the requirements for examination of concrete surfaces at tendon anchorage areas not included as part of the tendons originally selected for examination as prescribed by IWL-2521. The original intent of IWL-2000 was to subject concrete surfaces of tendon anchorage areas, not selected under IWL-2521, to a "general visual" examination. A subsequent rewrite of IWL-2000 inadvertently identified the examination as a "detailed visual" examination. The proposed changes correct IWL-2000 and make IWL-2310 and IWL-2510 consistent with Table IWL-2500-1.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

IWL-2510(b): This paragraph requires that tendon anchorage areas be examined using a Detailed Visual examination method in accordance with IWL-2310(b). However, there has been considerable confusion as to whether IWL-2510(b) requires an Owner to perform a Detailed Visual examination on 100% of anchorages during each inspection. The Working Group Containment has discussed this issue and has decided that it was not the intent of IWL-2510(b) to require this 100% examination. The intent was that this Detailed Visual examination be performed on those tendons subject to examination in accordance with IWL-2520. If adverse conditions were observed during the performance of these examinations on tendons selected for examination (or during any other activity), the intent is that the Responsible Engineer shall determine whether any additional anchorages (those not selected for examination in accordance with IWL-2520) need to be examined. If the Responsible Engineer determines that additional anchorage areas need to be examined, then a Detailed Visual examination would be required.

### **IWL-2511 Accessible Areas**

### **IWL-2512 Inaccessible Areas**

Note that in the 2009 Addenda, IWL-2510 was restructured into IWL-2511 “Accessible Areas” and new IWL-2512 “Inaccessible Areas.” IWL-2511 included a revised version of previous IWL-2510(a) and previous IWL-2510(b) through (e).

IWL-2511 was added to be specific to examinations required for accessible areas and differentiate between those and the additional requirements required for inaccessible areas (i.e. IWL-2512). IWL-2511(a) was revised to add reference to new paragraphs IWL-1220(c) and IWL-1220(d). IWL-2511(a) was rewritten to add provisions that inaccessible areas shall be evaluated for additional examinations when conditions warrant. IWL-2511(d) was revised to pluralize the term “examination” to be consistent with paragraphs IWL-2511(a), IWL-2511(b) and IWL-2511(c) as these paragraphs allude to more than one examination. IWL-2512(a) was added to be specific to actions required for the performance of an evaluation to determine examination requirements for inaccessible areas. IWL-2512(b) and IWL-2512(c) were added to be responsive to the SWG/PLEX request for consideration of below-grade containment concrete surfaces, including basemat foundations.

#### **Historical Information:**

1. Paragraph IWE-2510(b) was added in the 1997 Addenda.
2. WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384.
3. IWL-2510(b) was revised in the 2003 Addenda to include reference to ACI 349.3R. ACI 349.3R provides additional information on various degradation mechanisms that can impact the performance of containments. For example, differential settlement, and degradation of mild steel reinforcement and post-tensioning systems is described. It is the committee’s intent that the only applicable sections of ACI 349.3R are those that relate to degradation mechanisms. Information contained in ACI 349.3R on evaluation procedures and acceptance criteria were developed for nuclear power plant concrete structures other than containments;

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

however, this information can be of assistance in resolution of the significance of observed areas of concrete deterioration and distress. (See “Historical Information” under IWL-2310(b) and WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384 for more detailed information on changes associated with the 2003 Addenda).

4. IWL-2510(c) was revised in the 2003 Addenda to clarify that tendon end caps must be removed if there is evidence of tendon end cap deformation. This is to ensure that any potential anchorage hardware damage will be assessed properly. This is to ensure that any potential anchorage hardware damage will be assessed properly.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### **IWL-2520 EXAMINATION OF UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEMS**

#### **IWL-2521 Tendon Selection**

IWL-2521(a): The requirement to randomly select tendons is derived from the parallel provision in Revision 3 of Regulatory Guide (R.G.) 1.35. The R.G. provision was based on the experiences with examination of fixed tendons and comments from the industry professionals on earlier versions of the guide. The sample size requirement in Table IWL-2521-1 is also based on similar provisions in the R.G. During the development of the various versions (Rev. 1, Rev. 2, and Rev. 3) of the R.G., there was an extensive discussion related to the sample size of the tendons that could ensure a reasonable confidence in the prediction of the tendon forces. A crude and purely statistical consideration to ensure 95% confidence that no more than 5% of the tendons could be defective (defined as tendons whose measured pre-stressing forces are lower than the predicted values) would require a sample size of as many as 63 tendons selected randomly during each inspection. This was considered as too costly a proposal for the relatively passive (as compared to, for example, pumps and valves) component of nuclear plants. After a number of discussions between the regulatory staff and the industry engineers, including some from the working group, it was decided to examine the tendons as required in the Table IWL-2521.1. Such low numbers (4% in the early years, and 2% later on) was justifiable because a number of other parameters such as tendon anchorage areas, condition of corrosion protection medium, and condition of tendon elements are to be examined to ensure the integrity of the pre-stressing system.

#### **Historical Information:**

1. IWL-2521(a) was revised in the 2002 Addenda to address selection of tendons for augmented examination in accordance with IWL-2521.2 (Ref. WG/CL #97-02, SG/WCS #01-02, and ISI #01-09, MC/BC #01-08/01-362). See discussion under IWL-2410(d) for more information.

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

IWL-2521(b): Monitoring the lift-off force of a common tendon in each group provides data regarding time dependent variation in the common tendons. If properly monitored, the

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

common tendon lift-off forces would provide clues regarding the potential behavior of other tendons in the respective groups. It should be noted that if the common tendon lift-off force falls below its acceptance criterion, chances are that other tendons in the group would be experiencing similar time dependent behavior. In the case where the lift-off force in the common tendon is considerably above its predicted force, it still may be that the other tendons in that group are experiencing low time dependent losses due to breakage of a pre-stressing element or cracks in the anchorage components, for example.

IWL-2521(c): When the pre-stressing elements are kept in desired tension by means of wedging, or swaging, there may not be adequate length of shims, or leading pre-stressing elements, to facilitate de-tensioning of tendons for examination of pre-stressing elements. Thus, for this type of pre-stressing system, it will be necessary to predetermine sufficient number of tendons in each group that would facilitate de-tensioning, either by providing an appropriate length of shim stack or leading pre-stressing elements.

Users are reminded of the requirement of NCA-3220 that the Owner provide for the design and arrangement of components to permit accessibility in accordance with Section XI. In this case, an Owner would need to ensure that the concrete containment design provides a sufficient number of tendons that can be de-tensioned throughout the service life of the component in order to enable examination and testing in accordance with the requirements of Subsection IWL.

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

### Historical Information:

1. WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384. See discussion under IWL-2410(d) for more information.
2. IWL-2521(d): This paragraph was added in the 2002 Addenda to clarify random sampling requirements when a concrete containment has undergone repair/replacement activities that affect the post-tensioning system.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### **IWL-2521.1 Exemptions**

IWL-2521.1(c): If visual examinations (IWL-2524) or corrosion protection medium examinations (IWL-2525) can be performed on a tendon that has been designated as exempt, then these examinations are required and shall be performed.

For example, if an obstruction prevents sufficient access for equipment to perform lift-off (force measurement) testing, then the tendon may be designated as exempt. However, if the obstruction is such that access is sufficient to perform IWL-2524 and IWL-2525 examinations, these examinations shall be performed on the exempt tendon. In addition, a substitute tendon shall be selected, and all examinations required by IWL-2522, IWL-2524, and IWL-2525 shall be performed on the substitute tendon.

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

Another example in which the provisions of IWL-2521.1 would apply would be the case in which only one end of a tendon is inaccessible (i.e., end embedded in concrete or otherwise completely obstructed). In this case, if the Owner's program requires that lift-off (force measurement) testing be performed from both ends of a tendon, then a substitute tendon shall be selected, and all examinations originally required for the exempt tendon shall be performed on the substitute tendon. IWL-2524 and IWL-2525 examinations shall also be performed on the accessible end of the exempt tendon.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **IWL-2521.2 Tendons Affected by Repair/Replacement Activities**

Historical Information:

1. WG/CL #97-02, SG/WCS #01-02, ISI #01-09, BC-01-362. See discussion under IWL-2410(d) for more information.
2. IWL-2521.2 was added in the 2002 Addenda to address requirements for examination and testing of post-tensioning system tendons that have been affected by repair/replacement activities.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### **TABLE IWL-2500-1 EXAMINATION CATEGORIES**

Historical Information:

1. WG/CL #00-03, SG/WCS #01-06, ISI #02-09, MC/BC #BC-02-2384. See discussion under IWL-2310 for more information.
2. Footnote 1 was added to Item #L1.11 in the 2003 Addenda to clarify general visual examination requirements for concrete at tendon anchorage areas.
3. Table IWL-2500-1, IWL-2310 and IWL-2510 were revised in the 2003 Addenda to clarify the requirements for examination of concrete surfaces at tendon anchorage areas not included as part of the tendons originally selected for examination as prescribed by IWL-2521. The original intent of IWL-2000 was to subject concrete surfaces of tendon anchorage areas, not selected under IWL-2521, to a "general visual" examination. A subsequent rewrite of IWL-2000 inadvertently identified the examination as a "detailed visual" examination. The proposed changes correct IWL-2000 and make IWL-2310 and IWL-2510 consistent with Table IWL-2500-1.
4. Subsection IWE was revised in the 1998 Addenda to incorporate the IWE re-write. This revision deleted Table IWE-2500-1, Examination Category E-G, Pressure Retaining Bolting. The deletion eliminated Item No. E8.20, Bolted Connections, including the Bolt torque or tension test requirement.  
However, Paragraph IWA-6340 [2001 Edition] still contained a requirement to include bolt torque or tension test records for Class MC, as applicable. As the requirement to perform bolt torque or tension testing has been eliminated, Paragraph IWA-6340 has been revised to delete reference to bolt torque or tension test records.  
A review was performed of the current subsections IWE and IWL to identify any other types of records that should be either added to or deleted from Paragraph IWA-6340. This review did not identify any other required changes to this paragraph. This change has been considered to be non-technical in nature, and is required only to clarify that there aren't any bolt torque or tension test records required for Class MC components. The change insures

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

that the inservice inspection record requirements are consistent with the current requirements found within Subsections IWE and IWL.

5. In the 2007 Edition IWL-2526 was revised to clarify requirements for replacing corrosion protection medium following completion of post-tensioning system tests and examinations. Table IWL-2500-1, Category L-B, Item L2.40 was revised to address requirements for measuring and replacing corrosion protection medium.  
IWL-2526 requires that the amount of corrosion protection medium removed from a tendon be measured. It also requires that the total amount replaced be measured and compared to the amount removed. This is required in order to demonstrate that the acceptance standard of IWL-3221.4 has been met.  
However, Subsection IWL does not contain an explicit requirement that corrosion protection medium be replaced following inservice inspection activities. This action will clarify that it is a requirement that corrosion protection medium be replaced to ensure sufficient coverage of anchorage hardware, wires, and strands. The proposed changes would require that the Responsible Engineer determine the appropriate method for replacing corrosion protection medium.
6. In the 2009 Addenda, Table IWL-2500-1 was revised to add Item No. L1.13 "Inaccessible Below-Grade Areas" under Examination Category L-A "Concrete" as a result of the newly added IWL-2512 "Inaccessible Areas."

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **TABLE IWL-2521-1 NUMBER OF TENDONS FOR EXAMINATION**

For new plants, the percentage of tendons of each type that are required to be examined may be reduced from 4% to 2% starting with the 10 year inspection, provided the acceptance criteria of IWL-3221.1 (tendon force and elongation measurements) have been met during each of the last three inspections (1, 3, and 5 year inspections).

For existing plants, the percentage may be reduced from 4% to 2% when the acceptance criteria of IWL-3221.1 have been met during each of the last three inspections. For those plants that, prior to September 9, 1996, had performed post-tensioning system examinations in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.35 and had demonstrated compliance with these criteria for the past 3 inspections, the 2% figure could be used for examinations after September 9, 1996. For those plants that had not yet demonstrated compliance with these criteria by September 9, 1996, the sample size shall remain 4% until these criteria can be met.

Once a plant has taken advantage of this sample size reduction, examination results during future inspections must continue to meet the acceptance criteria of IWL-3221.1. Otherwise, the sample size must then revert back to 4% until three successive inspections have been performed in which the acceptance criteria of IWL-3221.1 have been met.

In the 2011 Addenda, Table IWL-2521-1, Note (2) was corrected by errata. (acceptable was replaced with acceptance) (BC 10-1864)

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

### Historical Information:

1. Table IWL-2521-1, footnote (2) was revised in the 1997 Addenda. Prior to the 1997 Addenda, this reduced sample size could be used when the acceptance criteria of IWL-3221.1 have been met "during each of the earlier inspections". For plants where this criteria had not been met at least once (3 successive inspections where the acceptance criteria had been met), it was unclear whether these plants could ever utilize this provision to reduce the tendon sample size. Because the intent was to have demonstrated at least three successful inspections, the wording of footnote (2) was changed to "for the last three inspections".

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **TABLE IWL-2521-2 AUGMENTED EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS FOLLOWING POST-TENSIONING SYSTEM REPAIR/REPLACEMENT ACTIVITIES**

In the 2011 Addenda, Table IWL-2521-2, entries in the third column and Notes (1), (2), and (6) were corrected by errata. (BC 10-1864)

### Historical Information:

1. WG/CL #97-02, SG/WCS #01-02, ISI #01-09, MC/BC #01-08/01-362. This action addresses changes made to IWL associated with modifications to the inservice inspection schedule for containments affected by repair/replacement activities. The Working Group Containment believed that many repair/replacement activities have a significant effect on the containment structure and that the existing requirements of IWL (prior to the 2002 Addenda) were not adequate to address "augmented examination" requirements following repair/replacement activities. Table IWL-2521-2 was added as part of this action. See discussion under IWL-2410(d) for more information.
2. This table was added in the 2002 Addenda to address modifications to the post-tensioning system examination scope and schedule for those containments affected by repair/replacement activities.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

#### **IWL-2522 Tendon Force and Elongation Measurements**

#### **IWL-2523 Tendon Wire and Strand Sample Examination and Testing**

##### **IWL-2523.1 Tendon De-tensioning and Sample Removal**

##### **IWL-2523.2 Sample Examination and Testing**

##### **IWL-2523.3 Re-tensioning**

In the 2011 Addenda, IWL-2523.3 (a) was corrected by errata. (**force** was deleted) (BC 10-1864)

### Historical Information:

1. ISI #02-43, BC-02-4241
2. Interpretation #XI-1-01-50 (Inquiry #IN-02-017)

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

3. This paragraph has been revised in the 2003 Addenda to clarify that the maximum allowable re-tensioning force applies to the as-left force in the tendon after re-tensioning. This limit does not apply during re-tensioning activities when shims are installed, or when elongation measurements are performed. During re-tensioning operations, the tendon stresses shall not exceed the limits of the Construction Code and the Owner's Requirements.

IWL-2523.3 requires that tendons, which have been detensioned, shall be retensioned to at least the force predicted for the tendon at the time of the test. In addition, IWL-2523.3 requires that "the retensioning force shall not exceed 70% of the specified minimum ultimate tensile strength of the tendon based on the number of effective wires or strands in the tendon at the time of retensioning". It is not clear whether the maximum allowable force applies only to the as-left force in the tendon following retensioning activities, or whether this limit applies during the entire retensioning process. During the retensioning process, it is necessary to increase the tendon force above the seating force to permit reinstallation of shims. In addition, it is common practice to increase the tendon force above this limit during elongation measurements made during retensioning activities.

Requirements are specified in Section III, Division 2 (2001 Edition with the 2002 Addenda) CC-3433. During initial tendon tensioning, CC-3433(a) allows tendon stress to exceed 70% of the ultimate tensile strength of the tendon, provided the stresses do not exceed 0.80fpu (80% of tendon ultimate tensile strength) or 0.94fpy (94% of tendon specified minimum yield strength). After initial tensioning has been completed, CC-3433(b) limits the average tendon tension stress to 70% of the ultimate tensile strength of the tendon. CC-3433 is provided below for information.

### CC-3433 Tendon System Stresses

The tendon stresses during stressing and anchoring and the tendon stresses used for design shall not exceed the following allowables.

- a) During stressing, the tension stress at the anchor point shall not exceed 0.80fpu or 0.94fpy, whichever is less.
- b) Immediately after anchoring, the tension stress at the anchor point shall not exceed 0.73fpu, and the calculated average tension stress over the length of the tendon shall not exceed 0.73fpu.
- c) For the purposes of design, the effective prestress shall be based on tendon stresses not exceeding those calculated to occur immediately after anchoring minus all applicable losses defined in CC-3542.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **IWL-2524 Examination of Tendon Anchorage Areas**

#### Historical Information:

1. The 50 fc light intensity values were added in the 2004 Edition. The lack of metrication was an oversight. This revision adds the SI equivalent of 550 lux. Other metrication errors and omissions were corrected.

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **IWL-2524.1 Visual Examination**

A Detailed Visual examination is required on tendon anchorage areas.

Historical Information:

1. IWL-2524.1 was revised in the 1997 Addenda. Prior to the 1997 Addenda, a VT-1 visual examination was required. Note that IWL-2524.1 had required a VT-1 visual examination for tendon anchorage concrete. This should have required that a VT-1C examination be performed.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **IWL-2524.2 Free Water Documentation**

Owners are cautioned to carefully evaluate the condition of tendons where free water exists. Existence of free water can be indicative of potential loss of corrosion protection medium, or can be an indicator of potential tendon degradation if the water is corrosive or has been contaminated with chlorides, nitrates, or sulfides.

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

### **IWL-2525 Examination of Corrosion Protection Medium And Free Water**

Historical Information:

1. Paragraph IWL-2525 has requirements for examination of both corrosion protection medium and free water. Paragraph IWA-6340(k)(4) mentioned only corrosion protection medium analysis results as an Owner designated record to be maintained. Paragraph IWA-6340 was revised in the 2006 Addenda to include the free water analysis also.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **IWL-2525.1 Samples**

IWL-2525.1(a): Many utilities have found that variances from one end of a tendon to the other (120 feet to 485 feet) can be significant. Therefore, samples are required to be collected from both tendon ends.

Free water should be excluded from the grease sample so as not to influence the water content test specified by Table IWL-2525-1. The purpose of the Table IWL-2525-1 water content test is to determine whether water has become chemically combined with the grease.

IWL-2525.1(b): The purpose of water analysis is to determine sources as well as potential harm to the system (non-neutralization level).

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

Owners are cautioned to carefully evaluate the condition of tendons where free water exists. Existence of free water can be indicative of potential loss of corrosion protection medium, or can be an indicator of potential tendon degradation if the water is corrosive or has been contaminated with chlorides, nitrates, or sulfides.

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

### **IWL-2525.2 Sample Analysis**

IWL-2525.2(a): These provisions are necessary to obtain consistent and repeatable testing results.

IWL-2525.2(b): The Owner should establish acceptance criteria for pH of free water. pH levels lower than 7.0 may indicate the presence of corrosion product. Acceptance standards for pH are not provided in IWL.

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

### **IWL-2526 Removal and Replacement of Corrosion Protection Medium**

The reason for measuring the amount of grease removed and the amount replaced is to determine whether the as-found tendon sheath had lost any grease. This could be an indicator that the tendon wires may not be adequately protected from corrosion.

The Working Group suggests that Owners determine the maximum grease installation pressure during pressure pumping operations and consider the effects of thermal expansion to avoid sheathing over-pressurization and grease leakage.

Following inservice tests and examinations, corrosion protection medium shall be replaced as necessary to ensure sufficient coverage of anchorage hardware, wires, and strands. The Responsible Engineer shall select the method for installation of corrosion protection medium. Tendon sheaths should be refilled to the extent practical in order to ensure adequate coverage of grease on metallic components.

#### **Historical Information**

1. In the 2007 Edition IWL-2526 was revised to clarify requirements for replacing corrosion protection medium following completion of post-tensioning system tests and examinations. Table IWL-2500-1, Category L-B, Item L2.40 was revised to address requirements for measuring and replacing corrosion protection medium. IWL-2526 requires that the amount of corrosion protection medium removed from a tendon be measured. It also requires that the total amount replaced be measured and compared to the amount removed. This is required in order to demonstrate that the acceptance standard of IWL-3221.4 has been met. However, Subsection IWL does not contain an explicit requirement that corrosion protection medium be replaced following inservice inspection activities. This action will clarify that it is a requirement that corrosion protection medium be replaced to ensure sufficient coverage of anchorage hardware, wires, and strands. The proposed changes would require that the Responsible Engineer determine the appropriate method for replacing corrosion protection medium.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

### **TABLE IWL-2525-1 CORROSION PROTECTION MEDIUM ANALYSIS**

#### **Water Content**

The evaluation for water is continued the same as in Regulatory Guide 1.35, and the limit has not changed (i.e., 10% water content). The fact that water content greater than 10% has occurred doesn't mean, however, that the tendon system is distressed. This is a limit well below degradation and is used to initiate an evaluation if moisture levels are found.

#### Historical Information:

1. The 10% maximum acceptance limit was added in the 1993 Addenda.
2. The 2009 Addenda updated the references in Tables IWA-1600-1 and IWL-2525-1. The reference information for standards and specifications listed in Tables IWA-1600-1 and IWL-2525-1 was outdated. The change adds, deletes, and updates references.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

#### **Water Soluble Chlorides, Nitrates, and Sulfides**

The limit here is 2½ to 5 times original permitted level in place of 2 ppm or 4 ppm maximum. The purpose here is to initiate an evaluation if levels are exceeded. The testing method listed in the notes present on the table are for guidance to the tester so consistent repeatable results can be obtained from surveillance to surveillance as well as to original and new replacement grease test results. These notes are important and must be followed as changes from these steps can cause large variations in test results.

#### **Multiple Test Methods**

For water soluble chlorides and nitrates testing, the first listed method is the manufacturer requirement method. The additional listed methods are alternate methods which are available and an acceptable way to test the grease.

For water soluble sulfides testing, the listed test methods are actually the same test method (but use different numbers). The original designation is listed first with current designation following as APHA changed its numbering system.

The base number (also referred to as neutralization number) is a test being completed to determine if grease is breaking down in composition. The base number was used in original product to control the consistency of the material. However, there are three versions of Viscosity grease used in North America in containment, as well as Sanchen (NO-OX-ID"CM") grease. The original version was 2090-P, followed by 2090-P2, and the current 2090-P4. All versions are compatible and only 2090-P4 is available now for replacement grease. The 2090-P did not have an original neutralization number report and 2090-P2 was 3, but both must be greater than zero.

## *Subsection IWL Commentary*

Acceptable versions of the referenced standard(s) listed in Table IWL-2525-1 are found in Table IWA-1600-1.

### Historical Information:

The following are general notes on revisions made in the 2009 Addenda to Table IWL-2525-1.

1. The notation of (10p/min) was deleted in three locations under the "Acceptance Limit" column.
2. Standard methods 4110 and 4500-NO<sub>3</sub> - were added to the list of acceptable methods under the "Test Method" column beside the "Water soluble nitrate" listing in the "Characteristic" column. New Note 2 was inserted and References to footnotes (1) and (2) were also added.
3. Standard method 4500-S<sup>2</sup> was added to the list of acceptable test methods under the "Test Method" column beside the "Water soluble sulfide" listing in the "Characteristic" column. References to footnotes (1) and (2) were also added.
4. Footnote (2) was added to list the specific test methods under Standard Methods 4110, 4500-NO<sub>3</sub>, and 4500-S<sup>2</sup> that are considered acceptable for use. These specific methods were reviewed by Southwest Research Institute and are considered suitable for the type of testing required by Table IWL-2525-1.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

*Subsection IWL Commentary*

**ARTICLE IWL-3000  
ACCEPTANCE STANDARDS**

**IWL-3100 PRESERVICE EXAMINATION**

**IWL-3110 CONCRETE SURFACE CONDITION**

**IWL-3111 Acceptance by Examination**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-3111 was revised to correct imprecise wording. (sufficient to warrant was changed to requiring)

**IWL-3112 Acceptance by Evaluation**

**IWL-3113 Acceptance by Repair/Replacement Activity**

**IWL-3120 UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEM**

**IWL-3200 INSERVICE EXAMINATION**

**IWL-3210 SURFACE CONDITION**

**IWL-3211 Acceptance by Examination**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-3211 was revised to correct imprecise wording. (sufficient to warrant was changed to requiring)

**IWL-3212 Acceptance by Evaluation**

**IWL-3213 Acceptance by Repair/Replacement Activity**

**IWL-3220 UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEMS**

**IWL-3221 Acceptance by Examination**

**IWL-3221.1 Tendon Force and Elongation**

IWL-3221.1(a): It is recognized that the requirement that the average of measured tendon forces in a group to be greater than the minimum required pre-stress for that group, in itself, is not sufficient to provide any idea about the time-dependent behavior of the tendons. However, it raises a red flag, in that it indicates more than the predicted lifetime losses in the measured tendons. The acceptance criteria in (b) and (c) provide a starting point for the evaluation of such abnormal behavior.

IWL-3221.1(b): Because of the small sample size, progressively increased examination is required when the pre-stressing force in any selected tendon falls

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

below its acceptance criterion [(b)(2) and (b)(4)]. Though requirements are not set when the measured pre-stressing forces in one or both the adjoining tendons fall below the acceptance criterion (i.e., 95% of the predicted pre-stressing level), the Responsible Engineer should evaluate the severity of the deficiency (including root cause determination), and decide the size and selection of the additional tendons to be examined.

IWL-3221.1(b)(3) was added to address acceptance criteria for those tendons subject to augmented examination in accordance with Table IWL-2521-2.

In the 2011 Addenda, IWL-3221.1(b)(3) was corrected by errata. [~~L2-10~~ was replaced with **L2.10** and ~~IWL-3221-1(b)(1)~~ was replaced with **IWL-3221.1(b)(1)**]. (BC 10-1864)

### Historical Information:

1. IWL-3221.1(b)(3) was added in the 2002 Addenda. Existing paragraph IWL-3221.1(b)(3) was renumbered as IWL-3221.1(b)(4).
2. WG/CL #97-02, SG/WCS #01-02, ISI #01-09, MC/BC #01-08/01-362. See discussion under IWL-2410(d) for more information.

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

IWL-3221.1(c): This criterion provides a means to integrate the results of all prior examinations to predict the future trends in tendon behavior. Though the requirement only refers to the evaluation for the next scheduled examination, the Responsible Engineer should assess if the trend will affect the predicted pre-stressing forces for the life of the plant.

IWL-3221.1(d): This criterion is meant to compare the elongation measurements taken during (at least two equally spaced intermediate measurements between the existing pre-stressing force and zero) de-tensioning and those taken during re-tensioning. The large difference in elongation measurements at the same load could indicate ineffectiveness of some of the pre-stressing elements in the tendon. The measurements taken during earlier tensioning may be used for comparison.

### **IWL-3221.2 Tendon Wire or Strand Samples**

### **IWL-3221.3 Tendon Anchorage Areas**

### **IWL-3221.4 Corrosion Protection Medium**

A large percentage of grease loss could be problematic and should be evaluated. The 10% criterion is large enough to account for volume changes due to thermal expansion/contraction of grease. Owners should be aware that 10CFR50.55a currently requires reporting conditions where this criterion is not met for any tendon.

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

*Date Revised: 009/18/02*

**IWL-3222 Acceptance by Evaluation**

**IWL-3223 Acceptance by Repair/Replacement Activity**

**IWL-3300 EVALUATION**

**IWL-3310 EVALUATION REPORT**

Historical Information:

1. IWL-3310(b) was added in the 1997 Addenda. When items on one plant (unit) with examination results fail to meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3100 or IWL-3200, this change requires that an Owner evaluate other plants at the site to determine whether the identified condition(s) are applicable to other plants (units) at the site.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

## Subsection IWL Commentary

### **ARTICLE IWL-4000 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT ACTIVITIES**

#### **IWL-4100 GENERAL**

Concrete repairs are not well defined in IWL, and Owners have had some difficulty in determining when the requirements of IWL-4000 apply. A number of actions have been taken in recent years to provide some clarification as to which types of activities are subject to the requirements of IWL-4000. Most of these actions have involved adding or clarifying exemptions in IWL-4110.

##### Historical Information:

1. IWL-4100 was revised in the 2002 Addenda. This revision helped to clarify which provisions of Subsection IWA apply to IWL repair/replacement activities (Ref. WG/CL #98-01, SG/WCS #00-05, ISI #00-07, MC/BC #BC-01-352).

*Date Revised: 11/24/04*

#### **IWL-4110 SCOPE**

##### Historical Information

1. Interpretation #XI-1-98-59, File #IN-99-002 clarifies that it is not the intent of IWL-4000 to require that installation of concrete expansion anchors be subject to the requirements of IWL-4000 if the installation affects only the cover concrete external to the outermost layer of reinforcing steel or the post-tensioning system.
2. WG/CL #98-01, SG/WCS #00-05, ISI #00-07, MC/BC #BC-01-352.
3. BC-05-140 (WG/CL #03-04.1)
4. IWL-4100 was revised in the 2007 Edition to clarify which types of activities on concrete containments are not subject to the requirements of IWL-4000. Activities affecting the outermost portion of the concrete (primarily cosmetic and maintenance activities) are typically exempt from the requirements of IWL-4000. Types of activities that may be exempt include those affecting anchorage end caps and their fasteners, seals and gaskets, sealants and coatings, corrosion protection media, activities such as concrete anchor installations (under certain conditions), and cosmetic restoration or maintenance of concrete surfaces. These exemptions are consistent with those described in IWA-4120(b)(5). The Responsible Engineer is required to approve activities affecting concrete to ensure that the activities are nonstructural and are not required to correct conditions that are unacceptable for continued service. Repair of concrete beneath bearing plates is not exempted and shall comply with the requirements of IWL-4000.
5. Although corrosion protection medium is exempt from the requirements of IWL-4000, IWL-4100(b)(3) was revised to add reference to footnote (1). Action BC-05-140 (WG/CL #03-04.1) was taken in response to a limitation in 10CFR50.55a(b)(2)(viii)(G) which required that corrosion protection material be restored following concrete containment post-tensioning system repair and replacement activities in accordance with the quality assurance program requirements specified in IWA-1400. Footnote (1) reads as follows:

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

<sup>1</sup>Corrosion protection medium is exempt from the requirements of IWL-4000. However, corrosion protection medium shall be restored in accordance with IWL-2526 following concrete containment post-tensioning system repair/replacement activities.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### **IWL-4120 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT PROGRAM**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-4120 was revised to correct imprecise wording. (**For concrete repair/replacement activities** was added; **address** was changed to **specify requirements for**)

### **IWL-4180 DOCUMENTATION**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-4180 was revised in the 2010 Edition to correct imprecise wording. (**concrete** was deleted; **required by the Responsible Engineer** was changed to **for quality control of materials**)

#### Historical Information

1. WG/CL #98-01, SG/WCS #00-05, ISI #00-07, MC/BC #BC-01-352.
2. IWL-4180 was revised in the 2003 Addenda per WG/RRM #02-07, SG/WCS #02-07, ISI #02-20, #BC-02-2472. This change replaced "IWA-4180" with "IWA-6000".
3. This paragraph was added in the 2002 Addenda and clarifies that the Owner shall maintain concrete test reports for repair/replacement activities affecting concrete. This provision had not been addressed previously.
4. IWL-4180 was updated in the 2003 Addenda in order to ensure that it matched changes made by SGRRM in BC 00-737. SGRRM relocated the IWA-4180 requirements to IWA-6330 and a new IWA-6340 in BC00-737. BC00-737 was passed on BPV Letter Ballot 02-02. In developing BC00-737, the entire Code was searched and all changes were made. A new IWL-4180 was added in BC01-352, and passed in BPV Letter Ballot 01-08. Unfortunately, none of the individuals reviewing BC00-737 remembered BC01-352 as it had not yet been published in the Code. This change therefore simply makes the new IWL-4180 consistent with the approved 2003 Addenda change where IWA-4180 no longer exists and the requirements were relocated to IWA-6340 and IWA-6350.

*Date Revised: 04/20/12*

### **IWL-4200 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT PLAN**

### **IWL-4210 RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER**

### **IWL-4220 CONCRETE**

In the 2010 Edition IWL-4220 was revised to correct imprecise wording. (**proper** was

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

changed to **specified**; **sufficient to warrant** was changed to **requiring**)

### Historical Information:

1. WG/C-L #98-01, SG/WCS #00-05, ISI #00-07, MC/BC #BC-01-352.
2. In the 2007 Edition, paragraph (a) was revised to require that the condition of concrete surfaces requiring repair/replacement activities shall be documented.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### **IWL-4230 REINFORCING STEEL**

### **IWL-4240 POST-TENSIONING SYSTEM**

Because welding should not be performed on tendon wires or anchor heads, IWL-4240 was revised to limit welding to bearing plates and provide a precautionary statement to protect the other items of the post-tensioning system from welding activities.

### Historical Information

1. WG/CL #98-01, SG/WCS #00-05, ISI #00-07, MC/BC #BC-01-352.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **IWL-4300 EXAMINATION**

## Subsection IWL Commentary

# ARTICLE IWL-5000 SYSTEM PRESSURE REQUIREMENTS

## IWL-5100 SCOPE

## IWL-5200 SYSTEM TEST REQUIREMENTS

### IWL-5210 GENERAL

IWL-5210 describes types of repair/replacement activities for which a containment pressure test is not required.

In the 2010 Edition IWL-5210 (a) was revised to correct imprecise wording. (repair/replacement activity **involves** was changed to **consists of**)

#### Historical Information

1. WG/CL #98-01, SG/WCS #00-05, ISI #00-07, MC/BC #BC-01-352, & BC-03-1727
2. IWL-5210(b) was revised in the 2005 Addenda to delete the requirement to perform an Engineering Evaluation Report. Instead, IWL-5210(b) now requires that an evaluation be performed to demonstrate that the containment satisfy the requirements of the Construction Code and the Owner's Requirements prior to and during the performance of the repair/replacement activity. This evaluation shall be reviewed by the Responsible Engineer. This change was made because there are certain types of repair/replacement activities (e.g., installation of concrete expansion anchors, minor concrete repairs) that do not warrant an Engineering Evaluation Report, and because these types of minor repair/replacement activities would not necessarily fail to meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3100 or IWL-3200.

*Date Revised: 07/14/10*

### IWL-5220 TEST PRESSURE

The test pressure is specified as Pa (Design Basis Accident Pressure). IWL-5000 does not require that this test be conducted at an elevated pressure, as would be required for a CC-6000 Structural Integrity Test. IWL does not specify that a CC-6000 Structural Integrity Test be performed following completion of a repair/replacement activity, since the containment pressure test specified in IWL-5210 serves to provide adequate verification of containment structural integrity following most repair/replacement activities.

#### Historical Information:

1. Interpretation #XI-1-95-64, File #IN-97-014 clarifies that it is not a requirement of IWL-2200 that a structural integrity test be performed following a repair/replacement activity. However, for modifications where the design pressure of the containment is increased, the system pressure test required by IWL-5000 shall be conducted at the new design basis accident pressure, Pa.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

## Subsection IWL Commentary

### **IWL-5230 LEAKAGE TEST**

If the repair/replacement activity affects the pressure retaining metallic shell of the containment, the Owner is cautioned to ensure that the pressure test requirements of IWE-5000 are also met. For large portions of concrete containments affected by repair/replacement activities (such as repair following Steam Generator replacement), it is often possible to perform a single pressure test (10CFR50, Appendix J, Type A Test) that satisfies both IWE-5000 and IWL-5000.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **IWL-5250 TEST PROCEDURE AND EXAMINATIONS**

#### Historical Information

2. IWL-5250 was revised in the 1997 Addenda to clarify that the Responsible Engineer is responsible for reviewing pressure test procedures and authorizing the performance of the pressure test following completion of concrete containment repair/replacement procedures. This change was made in part because the Responsible Engineer is required to assess whether concrete that has been placed in conjunction with repair/replacement activities has had sufficient time to cure. Also, it is a requirement of IWL-5250 that examinations be performed prior to the start of pressurization, at test pressure, and following completion of depressurization. This review to be performed by the Responsible Engineer is intended to ensure that the Responsible Engineer has the authority and control necessary to successfully complete these activities.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*

### **IWL-5260 CORRECTIVE ACTION**

IWL-5260 was revised to correct imprecise wording. (to the extent necessary was deleted)

### **IWL-5300 REPORT**

IWL-5300 was revised to correct imprecise wording. (This report may be an addition to a previously-prepared Engineering Evaluation Report (IWL-3310) was deleted; and examination results and shall state *changed to*, summarize examination results,)

## **Subsection IWL Commentary**

### **ARTICLE IWL-7000 REPLACEMENTS**

This Article has been deleted. The requirements of IWL-4000 now apply.

#### Historical Information:

1. IWL-7000 was deleted in the 1995 Addenda.

*Date Revised: 09/18/02*